The State of Delaware

Health Savings Account (HSA) Plan Recommendations



This document was prepared for the State of Delaware's sole and exclusive use and on the basis agreed by the State. It was not prepared for use by any other party and may not address their needs, concerns or objectives. This document should not be disclosed or distributed to any third party other than as agreed by the State of Delaware and Willis Towers Watson in writing. We do not assume any responsibility, or accept any duty of care or liability to any third party who may obtain a copy of this presentation and any reliance placed by such party on it is entirely at their own risk.

© 2019 Willis Towers Watson. All rights reserved. Proprietary and Confidential. For Willis Towers Watson and Willis Towers Watson client use only.

WillisTowers Watson III'I'III

Today's discussion

- HSA plan recommendation to the SEBC
 - HSA plan within context of GHIP Strategic Framework
 - HSA plan design
 - CDH Gold plan options
- Next steps

PRC recommended tasks from HSA Administrator RFP

- PRC recommended the following to the SEBC and the Health Policy & Planning and Financial Subcommittees:
 - 1. Evaluate the overall GHIP plan offerings available and goals associated with adding an HSA plan to the GHIP offerings *(SEBC)*
 - 2. Develop the proposed plan design (including the amount of and schedule for employer funding of the HSA) and premium rates (*HP&P design; Financial premium rates*)
 - 3. Evaluate the current plan offerings and in particular, the feasibility of continuing the existing CDH Gold plan, including how members' account balances would be managed if a proposal included discontinuation of this plan *(HP&P)*
 - 4. Fully understand the fiduciary responsibilities, if any, by the State for the investment accounts along with any fees to members and how the investment funds are structured *(Financial)*
 - 5. Determine the intensity of focus needed to communicate and educate members about a HSA plan (*HP&P*)
 - 6. Re-evaluate how closely aligned each vendor is to the above considerations to determine which vendor may be the best fit to administer a HSA plan (*HP&P*)
 - 7. Propose whether or not to offer a HSA plan and the effective date, including all of the above considerations as part of a proposal that will include a recommendation on which of the two TPAs is best suited to administer the HSA plan (*HP&P*)

Note: "Owner" of the final decision about each the above topics is denoted in parentheses at the end of each topic.

Why offer an HSA plan?

- Provides medical plan option that allows employees to save for future medical expenses, encouraging overall financial wellness
 - HSA is a portable savings vehicle that is owned by the employee
 - Unused funds at end of year carry over into next year (i.e., no "use-it-or-lose-it" rule) and are always the employee's to keep
 - Employee retains access to HSA funds even if they terminate employment or drop medical coverage under the GHIP
- Tool for attracting and retaining talent from younger generations
 - Employees enrolling in HSA plans tend to be younger and healthier than those electing other types of plans
 - Millennials are the generation most likely to be enrolled in an HSA plan
 - Millennials are more likely to engage in consumerism behaviors targeted by HSA plans when seeking medical care, and are more interested in the tax-advantages and investment features of these plans than other generations¹

¹ Source: EBRI 2017 Consumer Engagement in Health Care Survey.

HSA plan – demographic considerations

- Employees enrolling in HSA plans tend to be younger and healthier than those electing other types of plans; millennials are the generation most likely to be enrolled in an HSA plan
 - Millennials are more likely to engage in consumerism behaviors targeted by HSA plans when seeking medical care, and are more interested in the tax-advantages and investment features of these plans than other generations¹
 - HSAs are important employer tools for attracting and retaining young talent
- Approximately 1/3 of GHIP enrollees are millennials² who are lower paid, more likely to waive/enroll in single coverage, and more likely to elect plans with low contributions (FSB, CDH) than other State employees

Demographics by Age Band				Plan Election by Age Band						
Age Band	Average Salary	% Single Coverage	% Waive Coverage	Age Band	CDH	PPO	FSB	НМО	Total Enrolled	
< 26	\$36,724	51.2%	37.1%	< 26	9.0%	47.8%	19.2%	24.0%	676	GHIP Mille
26 - 29	\$41,879	65.9%	7.5%	26 - 29	7.1%	50.9%	14.6%	27.4%	2,250	(10,203 en
30 - 39	\$51,402	32.0%	8.6%	30 - 39	5.4%	60.5%	7.2%	26.9%	7,277	33% of tota
40 - 49	\$59,423	19.1%	10.2%	40 - 49	4.5%	61.7%	4.7%	29.1%	8,269	Indicates
50 - 59	\$55,108	29.2%	9.5%	50 - 59	4.8%	62.0%	4.7%	28.5%	8,467	millennia
60 - 69	\$54,766	40.7%	8.6%	60 - 69	3.9%	68.5%	4.2%	23.3%	3,839	populatio based or
70 and over	\$51,889	43.1%	12.5%	70 and over	2.4%	79.9%	2.1%	15.5%	329	EBRI surv

 Offering a HSA plan will be more attractive to GHIP millennials than other State employees, allowing them to build HSA balances and save for retirement during lean utilization years

1 Source: EBRI 2017 Consumer Engagement in Health Care Survey.

2 EBRI 2017 Consumer Engagement in Health Care Survey defines millennial generation as the demographic cohort with birth years ranging from 1977 to 2000.

Current GHIP offerings

New hire enrollment patterns

- The below exhibit summarizes the distribution of plan elections made by new hires or rehired employees, at time of hire/rehire eligibility date
- New hires/rehires were more likely to waive coverage or elect First State Basic and CDH Gold options compared to the current GHIP State eligible population overall
 - In more recent years, new hires are increasingly likely to elect the lowest cost plan (FSB) or waive coverage; fewer new employees elected HMO and the CDH Gold options, though proportion in CDH Gold remains higher than GHIP overall
 - While millennials (Gen Y) are approximately 33% of current GHIP-enrolled employees², they make up 57% of GHIP enrollees hired from FY2014 to FY2018¹

	% Original Election by Plan ¹					Demographics of GHIP enrollees			
Hire Year	РРО	нмо	CDH	FSB	Waive	26% 40% 33% <1%			
CY 2014	37.8%	26.8%	7.6%	5.4%	22.3%	enrollees A TA TA TA TA TA TA TA TA TA			
CY 2015	36.0%	28.2%	7.5%	6.1%	22.3%				
CY 2016	32.6%	25.6%	8.4%	10.9%	22.5%	Enrollees hired			
CY 2017	34.1%	20.8%	6.9%	13.9%	24.3%	to FY2018 🗶 🖓 🖓 👘 🖓 👘 🗭 👘 🖓 👘 🖓 👘			
CY 2018	32.7%	14.4%	5.1%	17.3%	30.4%	(6,464) ¹			
Overall GHIP ² (current election)	55.2%	24.6%	4.5%	5.6%	10.1%	Baby Boomer ³ Gen X Gen Y Gen Z			

¹ Based on all full-time benefits eligible employees of the State hired or rehired between 2014 and 2018 per 'PHRST_Hires_Rehires_ConHires_FY15-18_medical election_6.20.2018' provided to WTW by OMB on June 20, 2018. HMO and CDH include enrollees in both Aetna and Highmark plan options when both vendors were offered for these plans.
² Based on all full-time benefits eligible employees of the State per 'Ben Elig Ees April2018 wEarnings thru 041518' report provided to WTW by OMB on May 14, 2018. Includes

31,107 active State employees enrolled in GHIP. Excludes participating groups (waiver data not available).

³ Current GHIP enrollees includes 117 employees who are members of the Silent Generation (1928 – 1945) (2 of which were hired from FY2014 to FY2018).

willistowerswatson.com

GHIP strategic framework

Mission statement and goals

- Implementing an HSA plan was not originally included as a tactic within the GHIP strategic framework
- However, the addition of an HSA plan would align with one of the strategic framework goals, which the GHIP is currently achieving

Mission Statement:

Offer State of Delaware employees, retirees and their dependents adequate access to high quality healthcare that produces good outcomes...

at an affordable cost.

promotes healthy

them be engaged

consumers.

lifestyles, and helps

<u>Goals:</u>

Addition of at least net 1 value-based care delivery (VBCD) model by end of FY2018

Reduction of gross GHIP medical and prescription drug trend by 2% by end of FY2020¹

GHIP membership enrollment in a consumerdriven or value-based plan exceeding 25% of total population by end of FY2020²

FY19 status – Meeting goal 🗸

- Enrollment in consumer-driven plan (CDH Gold): 6%
- Enrollment in value-based plan (Aetna HMO): 25%

Approved by the SEBC in December 2016.

² Note: To drive enrollment at this level, the State will need to make plan design and employee contribution changes that may require changes to the Delaware Code.

¹ Gross trend is inclusive of total increase to GHIP medical plan costs (both "employer" and "employee") and will be measured from a baseline average trend of 6% (based on a blend of the State's actual experience and Willis Towers Watson market data).

Considerations for the GHIP

training/education on State benefits

Task (PRC recommendation #) Owner Evaluate GHIP plan offerings and goals of SEBC offering HSA plan (1)

	Ideal State					
	12+ months for implementation Resources to support all aspects (operations, communications, training)	Requires frequent, ongoing communications pre/post implementation for EEs, HR/Ben Reps Educate on how plan works, tools, how to pay for care	Offer decision support tools for medical plan selection, cost and quality transparency High levels of engagement through use of these tools			
Components of member engagement strategy	Time and human resources	Education and communications	Decision support, transparency tools			
1 Example : disability/workers' compensation administration and	As of June 2019: 12 months for implementation by 7/1/20 SBO recent review of resource needs prompted request for additional staff to support other initiatives already identified ¹	Significant efforts have been made by SBO / DHR to enhance communications (content, delivery channels, audiences) over last 12-18 months	In place today: myBenefitsMentor tool, medical/Rx cost estimators MyBenefitsMentor utilization, FY20 OE: 22% Active enrollment election, FY20 OE: ~80%			
compliance, general		GHIP Current State				

GHIP Current State

HSA plan	design –	proposed	option
-----------------	----------	----------	--------

Plan Design (In-network)	Proposed HSA Plan Design ("Scenario 1")	Proposed HSA Plan Design "Scenario 2"	WTW recommends following approach for the State:	CDH Gold w/HRA	State Employers Peer Benchmark HDHP+HSA ³
Deductible (Ind./Fam.)	\$2,000 / \$4,000	\$1,500 / \$3,000	 Recommend HSA 	\$1,500 / \$3,000	\$2,100 / \$4,200
Account Funding (Ind./Fam.)	\$1,000 / \$2,000	\$1,000 / \$2,000	plan design "Scenario 1"	\$1,250 / \$2,500	\$700 / \$1,400 ³
Coinsurance	80%	90%	 HSA plan design 	90%	80%
Out-of-Pocket Max (Ind./Fam.)	\$4,500 / \$9,000	\$4,500 / \$9,000	and RBV is meaningfully different from CDH	\$4,500 / \$9,000	\$4,500 / \$9,000
PCP Office Visit	80%	90%	Gold plan	90%	80%
Specialist Office Visit	80%	90%	 Fairly well aligned to 	90%	80%
Emergency Room	80%	90%	State employers	90%	80%
Inpatient Care	80%	90%	benchmark design,	90%	80%
Prescription Drug ¹			with slightly richer		
Out-of-Pocket Max (Ind./Fam.)	Combined with medical	Combined with medical	HSA account funding	\$2,100 / \$4,200	Combined with medical
 Retail 	\$8 / \$28 / \$50 after deductible	\$8 / \$28 / \$50 after deductible	HP&P feedback following 5/2/19 meeting	\$8 / \$28 / \$50	85% / 80% / 75% after deductible
Mail Order	\$16 / \$56 / \$100 after deductible	\$16 / \$56 / \$100 after deductible	Scenario 1 Scenario 2 60% 40%	\$16 / \$56 / \$100	85% / 80% / 75% after deductible
Relative Benefit Value (RBV) ²	0.89	0.93	(3 out of 5 (2 out of 5 responses) responses)	0.96	0.88

1 Retail 30 day supply; mail order 90 day supply.

2 RBV estimate includes Health Savings Account seed.

Task (PRC recommendation #)OwnerDevelop HSA plan
design (2)Health
P&P

willistowerswatson.com © 2019 Willis Towers Watson. All rights reserved. Proprietary and Confidential. For Willis Towers Watson and Willis Towers Watson client use only.

Impact of HSA plan on other GHIP offerings CDH Gold plan

- Several options for the future of the CDH Gold plan in the event that an HSA plan is implemented have been reviewed with both Subcommittees
- SBO has conducted a survey of CDH Gold plan participants to gauge what they value about the plan

WTW recommends the following approach for the State, if an HSA plan is implemented:

- Retain the CDH Gold plan as an option under the GHIP, but freeze the plan to new enrollees and discontinue any future funding of the HRA once the HSA plan is rolled out
- For any CDH Gold enrollee who drops coverage or changes their medical plan enrollment after the CDH Gold is frozen, prohibit re-enrollment in the CDH Gold plan
- Recommended approach limits potential member dissatisfaction (particularly for those with large HRA fund balances) by continuing to offer CDH Gold plan
- Produces additional administrative work for SBO to maintain CDH Gold plan alongside HSA plan, but will ensure enrollment in consumer directed plan is steered toward HSA plan over time

Owner

HP&P feedback following 5/2/19 meeting					
Freeze plan	No freeze				
80%	20%				
(4 out of 5	(1 out of 5				
responses)	responses)				

Next steps

SEBC to consider voting on HSA plan recommendations at the July 22 SEBC meeting